

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313,1450

P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313	-1450
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/617,322	07/09/2003	Thomas Edward Dinan	SA9-99-140US2	2820	
32112	7590 09/30/2005		EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW OFFICE 1901 S. BASCOM AVENUE, SUITE 660 CAMPBELL, CA 95008	CHEN, 1	CHEN, TIANJIE			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			2652		

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/617,322	DINAN ET AL.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		•
	Tianjie Chen	2652		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress	•
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
 a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv 		o final rejection, whichev	eric later In no	
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	OWT NIHTIW D	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.	
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))				
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	-			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendr	nent canceling	
 7. Solution of the proposed amendment (s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. 		vill be entered and an	explanation of	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	orided below of appended.			
Claim(s) allowed:			•	
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
P. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the request for reconsidered but the request for reconsideration has been considered but the reconsidered but the reconsideration has been considered but the reconsidered but the recons	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:	
See Attached Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(DTO/SR/08 or DTO 1440) Donor	No(e)		
re. 🗀 14010 the attached Hilbilliation Disclosure StateMeM(S).	(1 10/00/00 OF 10-1448) Faper	110(3).		

13. Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/617,322 Page 2

Art Unit: 2652

Attached Sheet

• In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the features recited above) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van*

Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

• The rejection is proper and maintains.